

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,001	09/10/2003	Robert Bartek	7234	3500	
75	90 09/22/2005	•	EXAM	INER	
JOHNS MANVILLE			AHMAD, NASSER		
Legal Department 10100 West Ute Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Littleton, CO 80127			1772		
· .			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{W}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	10/659,001	BARTEK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nasser Ahmad	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>une 2005</u> .	•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 14-24 is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-24 is/are withdray	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	, , , ,	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		· ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	rossivod	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/659,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's confirmation of election without traverse in the amendment filed on June 27, 2005 is noted.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sylvia (3581779) has been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on June 27, 2005.
- 3. Claims 1-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zanchetta (2004/0009319) has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 4. Claims 5 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sylvia in view of Stierli (4442148) has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 6. Claims 1-13 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/659,002 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,001 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urbanek (5434009) in view of Zanchetta (5964946) and Kyminas (4749731). Urbanek relates to an asphalt based roofing membrane (abstract). The membrane comprises a base asphaltic layer that is reinforced with a mesh substrate embedded therein (col. 4, lines 32-36) and having top and bottom surfaces of asphalt, a barrier layer is provided on top of the base layer and a shield layer is provided over the barrier layer. The barrier layer can be acrylic based polymeric binder (col. 4 lines 37-42). The shield layer is adhered to the barrier layer and includes UV light blocking characteristics such as titanium dioxide with acrylic resin (col. 3, lines 10-15 and col. 4, lines 52-55). However, Urbanek fails to teach the presence of a release sheet over one of the top or bottom surface and that the membrane is in a roll form. Zanchetta discloses a bitumen based waterproof membrane (abstract). The bituminous layer is provided with a

protective release liner and then rolled into a roll (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Zanchetta's teaching of providing a release liner on the asphalt layer in the invention of Urbanek with the motivation to provide for protection to the tacky bitumen surface.

Further, Kyminas discloses a roof protective coating for built-up asphalt roofs (abstract; col. 1, lines 12-31). The coating layer includes polyacrylic polymers, polyvinyl chloride polymers, etc. with reflective pigments (col. 2, lines 20-24 and 36-42). Kyminas teaches that polyvinyl chloride and acrylic polymer are functionally equivalent as roof protective coating layer. Therefore, because these two materials were art-recognizes at the time the invention was made, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute polyvinyl chloride for polyacrylic polymer as the top protective coating.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad 9 18 05 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. September 17, 2005.